

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## HOW TO BUILD A SCHOOL IN 90 MINUTES! (SC)

Workshop # 0

Monday, February 22, 2010

4:30 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments: Misunderstood what presentation was about.	10 91%	1 9%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	8 57%	6 43%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	6 30%	14 70%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	2 13%	8 53%	5 33%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	9 60%	6 40%

#### Additional Comments:

- A lot to cover in 1.5 hours
- Good review
- This is all very valuable info, but perhaps too much for 90 minutes. Presenters seemed rushed, also may have been clearer had they applied information to case studies.
- Perhaps more images on the PowerPoint
- Very good quick overview

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION 101/201 (SC)

Workshop # 1

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

8:30 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	60 97%	2 3%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	2 8%	12 48%	11 44%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 2%	11 17%	52 81%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	2 8%	11 46%	11 46%
Meeting room accommodations	4 7%	18 31%	36 62%

#### Additional Comments:

- Information presented to fast
- School Construction 201 – too much information covered too quickly. Does not allow for questions during the presentation. Speed of 101 was better
- Well organized, informative, and complete
- Very clear information. Made the presentation engaging
- The whole presentation was excellent
- Radio broadcasts were scary but funny!
- Some overlap between 101 & 201
- Would like paper copy of materials (4x)
- Well presented (2x)
- Would like to have actual sample spread sheets included on the flash drive
- The room was a little too big
- A lot of good information
- Very knowledgeable presenters and good format (2x)
- This was a very good series for someone new to this area
- I like the radio talk show a lot (3x)
- Appreciate the hard work from the team
- Outstanding program
- Great pacing
- My first C.A.S.H. Conference – very informative
- Very helpful, practical and real world tips and insights. Well done
- Not enough time to go over all the stuff

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## THE STATE AGENCIES' TOP TIPS FOR GETTING YOUR PROJECT APPROVED - AN OVERVIEW OF THE STATE AGENCIES (STA)

Workshop # 2

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

8:30 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	34 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	4 12%	18 53%	12 35%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 3%	19 54%	15 43%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	2 6%	17 50%	15 44%
Meeting room accommodations	1 3%	20 51%	18 46%

#### Additional Comments:

- Not enough data
- It was more weighted on the side of the state agencies are doing and not what we need to do or tips about completing our portion more efficiently
- DSA top 10 good information
- Great to hear about pending changes and improvements in the process – thank you
- It seemed most of the speakers read directly from their presentations. (3x)
- Couldn't understand one presenter
- Too much discussion on how they will streamlined which sounds more like a roundtable discussion from DSA and OPSC

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## PERSISTENCE PAYS OFF... LESSONS LEARNED FROM GREEN POST OCCUPANCY STUDIES (HP)

Workshop # 3

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

8:30 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	24 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	1 4%	12 44%	14 52%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	15 50%	15 50%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	2 8%	7 27%	17 65%
Meeting room accommodations	1 4%	17 65%	8 31%

#### Additional Comments:

- Great information, thank you for including applicable case studies.
- Workshop description includes “health” as part of sustainable design, but no significant discussion on indoor air quality and student/teacher health
- Would have liked to see more information on alternative energy models with relation to LEED and CHPS
- The speaker emphasized integrating the users and M&O staff in design and putting more emphasis on M&O training, but some tools or examples of how to do that may have been helpful.
- Awkward seating arrangement, but better than the norm
- The U-shape plus row seating creates a participants vs. observer barrier
- Found the some of the graphs confusing – more time spent explaining the axis/measurements would be helpful
- 34 attendees – seating for 155 – space too large for amount of attendees. Better interaction if done in a smaller room
- Focus on building performance as related to LEED and CHPS but there was no discussion of site features required by LEED and CHPS
-

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## INTEGRATED PROJECT DELIVERY: LEAN TIMES CALL FOR LEAN METHODS (P&PM)

Workshop # 4

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

8:30 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	75 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	2 3%	16 21%	57 76%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 1%	21 28%	52 70%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	3 4%	24 35%	42 61%
Meeting room accommodations	2 3%	36 48%	37 49%

#### Additional Comments:

- Not much talk about how others could actually integrate this into their district – did no discuss any hurdles to overcome
- I was more interested in the learning how “I” could produce a lean project – not a summary of their project
- I needed more information on district who are past the design phase, waiting for funding, approvals, etc.
- Chairs could be better
- I can’t wait to incorporate more lean concepts into my next project
- Needed specific examples of situations – how trades people/designers could stick to commitment
- Needed more detail on the BIM model
- Very interesting topic. Real life examples helpful
- Would have liked a handout
- Could have included more detail on the process
- Very informative of the whole process (2x)
- Clearly this team is genuine – accomplished both a project and a presentation together
- Jay Davison is a great speaker
- Very good analysis of their process – self –critiquing, leads to a better approach
- Nice explanation of the reverse phase scheduling – nicely done – plain language explanation
- Well organized presentation – complete and inspiring good news
- Good information for the team. Could have use more time to discuss how architect and contactor were selected and the contract structure
- Hooray!
- Reverse scheduling process and incentive program – this was new to me and I would like to have had a better understanding of it.
- Shouldn’t name “bad” company in this setting
- Great information
- Presenters concise and knowledgeable

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## DELINQUENT TAX COLLECTION AND FORECLOSURE (FM)

Workshop # 6

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

10:30 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?		Yes	No
Comments:		17	0
		100%	0%
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	7 41%	10 59%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	4 24%	13 76%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	5 31%	11 69%
Meeting room accommodations	1 6%	9 53%	7 41%

#### Additional Comments:

- Good subject to discuss – some of the smaller districts are now being faced with these issues and will need to start more into these processes
- Lynn and Wendy are great presenters

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## BID PROTESTS IN A TIME OF HUNGRY CONTRACTORS: HOW SHOULD I HANDLE ALL OF THE PROTESTS (SC)

Workshop # 8

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

2:15 p.m. - 3:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	46 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	13 28%	34 72%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 2%	7 15%	39 83%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 2%	13 28%	32 70%
Meeting room accommodations	1 2%	19 40%	27 57%

#### Additional Comments:

- Very informative
- A difficult subject with contract code and hard bid
- Would have liked to hear more from other panelists (2x)
- Great job!
- Just ran out of time
- I'm glad to see a workshop on this topic and in which the presentation supports and parallels my experience as an architect working with other attorneys
- Very good information
- I appreciated the specific examples it really helped illustrate the message – Thank you!

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## WHY "VISIONING" SHOULD BE PART OF YOUR DISTRICT'S COMPREHENSIVE MASTER PLAN (P&PM)

Workshop # 9

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

2:15 p.m. - 3:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	50	1	
	98%	2%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	1 2%	24 43%	31 55%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 2%	22 39%	33 59%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 2%	23 41%	32 57%
Meeting room accommodations	5 9%	32 58%	18 33%

#### Additional Comments:

- Perhaps another workshop next year as to that status of execution of the vision – master plan
- A technology component seemed to be missing from the workshop, bus was picked up well at the end with the video
- The video was very appropriate and applicable to the topic – thank you
- Good topic
- Good overview
- We are in the process of selecting a firm for a master plan, so this information is very relevant. It gives me a better idea of what to look for as our plan develops – well done
- Is visioning the new name for master planning?
- Would have been nice to have a wider panel that would better represent the teams involved in visioning
- Bigger screen would be nice
- One presenter read the slides and did not tend to elaborate much beyond what was already on the slide
- General suggestions: Educators should lead/moderate all sessions
- So after the innovation, technology, and visioning, are the kids playing outside more than 2.01 hours/day? Is there somewhere in the vision that allows for a “world made by hand”?

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## **P3 - PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS - WILL YOUR DISTRICT GET INSPIRED INTO ACTION? (SA)**

Workshop # 10

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

2:15 p.m. - 3:15 p.m.

### **Evaluation Summary**

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	29 94%	2 6%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	3 8%	16 43%	18 49%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	4 11%	10 27%	23 62%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	8 22%	15 41%	14 38%
Meeting room accommodations	3 8%	23 62%	11 30%

#### Additional Comments:

- Not specific enough
- The case study was a public/public partnership (4x)
- This these will be more important in the future
- This was not a presentation about P3 project delivery, rather about public, public joint-use
- Air at the back of the room was sporadic
- Great example of, and information, a joint-use project, but how is this P3? Who is providing the funding
- Could have had more detail about agreements, contracts etc
- Do non notice a private element to project a presentation
- Great topic
- Best workshop I have attended so far. Very detailed, very organized – good presentation
- Presentation was very organized and augmented by great discussion with the presenters

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## M&O - PROFESSIONAL BEST PRACTICES AND HOT TOPICS (M&O)

Workshop # 11

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

2:15 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	21 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	5 23%	17 77%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	5 23%	17 77%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	5 23%	17 77%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	7 32%	15 68%

#### Additional Comments:

- Good job!
- Need money!
- On target presentation! Ken Mueller did a fantastic job! Knows his stuff! Best presentation.
- Good information – well organized.
- Please continue this subject.
- Well done interesting approach to staffing.
- Very good detailed information presented; especially the spreadsheets.
- Ken's presentation was outstanding!!
- Deferred Maintenance Program funding?
- Great information.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## LEGISLATIVE UPDATE (P&PM)

Workshop # 12

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

2:15 p.m. - 3:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	32 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	11 34%	21 66%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	5 16%	27 84%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	3 9%	10 31%	19 59%
Meeting room accommodations	1 3%	13 41%	18 56%

#### Additional Comments:

- Fascinating information on bond market – what workshop am I in?
- Wow – should /could have been a longer workshop
- Brilliant presenters – not much relation to “Legislative Update” as described
- No good news for California
- Content did not match description (3x)
- A lot of information to digest
- Would have like to have a handout
- Overall the workshop was great – excellent information was presented
- Jeff Baratta is a great communicator in explaining complex topics
- One of the presenters could have done a much better job presenting some really interesting information and given a context for it

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## GOING GREEN- FINDING THE RIGHT PATH (FM)

Workshop # 14

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

3:30 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	30 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	3 9%	13 39%	17 52%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 3%	10 30%	22 67%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	3 9%	12 36%	18 55%
Meeting room accommodations	1 3%	17 52%	15 45%

#### Additional Comments:

- A lot of information in a short time. Information very general – a little more nuts and bolts would have been beneficial.
- Doug Mann deserves a gold star. He did a great job detailing both the potential positives and negatives.
- Great facts to allow determination whether or not to and how to use energy alternatives
- I appreciated Doug's realistic perspective.
- More in-depth information on the various finance options would have been helpful
- Going green? Focus was strictly on solar
- Too much information in too short of time
- Could really relate to Doug Mann's down-to-earth presentation
- Great balance of presenters.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## WHAT TO DO? PREQUALIFY OR TRAIN THOSE HUNGRY CONTRACTORS (SC)

Workshop # 15

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

3:30 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	33 97%	1 3%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	1 3%	15 41%	21 57%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	12 32%	25 68%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	15 41%	22 59%
Meeting room accommodations	1 3%	17 46%	19 51%

#### Additional Comments:

- Karl was wonderful!
- It would be helpful to have a sample pre-qualify packages currently being used in your district. DIR website package may be too general to be helpful
- Would have liked a little more emphasis on training
- Good Q&A

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## HOW TO REDUCE ENERGY COSTS AND ENHANCE EDUCATIONAL QUALITY (HP)

Workshop # 16

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

3:30 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	51 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	18 35%	34 65%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 2%	17 33%	34 65%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	17 33%	35 67%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	26 51%	25 49%

#### Additional Comments:

- Didn't talk about educational quality
- Not very cutting edge information.
- Good to see how interested the utility is into incentives and pay-backs for customers' actions
- Great presentation by David Fanous
- Love the Clovis guys
- Great ideas on implementation strategies
- Room was cold – utilized energy management systems for decreased energy use.
- Each presenter did an outstanding job communicating their message. Graphics added value and were easy to read. Group was well coordinated. Good job!
- Great breakdown of information, good speakers, Dave from PG&E kept it lively. Bravo!
- Way too general

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## BEHIND THE SCENES -- PUTTING THE PIECES OF PERFORMING AND VISUAL ARTS TOGETHER TO INSPIRE STUDENT COLLABORATION AND WORKPLACE SUCCESS (SA)

Workshop # 17

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

3:30 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	16 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	1 5%	4 21%	14 74%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 5%	3 16%	15 79%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 5%	7 37%	11 58%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	8 42%	11 58%

#### Additional Comments:

- Interesting topic that I haven't seen before at C.A.S.H. so it was nice to be exposed to some different topics.
- Presenters had good backgrounds and knowledge.
- The video clip where way long (2x).
- I really enjoyed the detail on the educational program
- I would have liked examples of built Performing Arts Facilities to demonstrate what was discussed.
- Could have been more related to facilities and how they can help arts programs

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## IN TODAY'S ECONOMY, LEARN WHAT IT TAKES TO EFFECTIVELY PLAN AND PASS A BOND (P&PM)

Workshop # 18

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

3:30 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	31 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	1 3%	10 31%	21 66%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	8 24%	25 76%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	11 33%	22 67%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	17 52%	16 48%

#### Additional Comments:

- Would have liked handouts (2x)
- Very timely subject for the 2010 election year
- How to be "successful" was communicated well
- Good practical advise
- Panelists were very good
- Would have loved to see pictures of school district results
- A lot of information shared

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## WHAT'S NEW AT DSA (STA)

Workshop # 20

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

9:00 p.m. – 10:30 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	27 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	19 66%	10 34%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 4%	15 54%	12 43%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	2 7%	18 64%	8 29%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	18 69%	8 31%

#### Additional Comments:

- Did not include changes in Title 24 as stated in program (2x)
- Open questions were helpful
- I'd be interested in discussing potential interface, digitally, between DSA and architects using BIM
- In the future I would like regional managers to attend and be part of the panel – they can hear the questions and our concerns directly and take it back to DSA's staff
- Couldn't hear the attendees questions.
- Presenters were very knowledgeable – good information

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## SUSPENDING OR TERMINATING YOUR CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS: OPTIONS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS (SC)

Workshop # 21

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 10:30 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	28 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	4 14%	24 86%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 4%	3 11%	24 86%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	5 18%	23 82%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	16 57%	12 43%

#### Additional Comments:

- Excellent, concise, and thorough
- Presentations was excellent
- Speaker was very knowledgeable

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## PLANNED MAINTENANCE (M&O)

Workshop # 22

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 10:30 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	29 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	13 42%	18 58%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	14 45%	17 55%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	15 48%	16 52%
Meeting room accommodations	1 3%	20 65%	10 32%

#### Additional Comments:

- Great job guys! Thank you!
- Great information! Learned about issues that our department was not complying to.
- Hard to hear the questions
- Good stuff
- Very informative.
- Well rounded, professional and thorough.
- Superb
- Specific systems and examples would have been helpful to show how the concepts are implemented.
- Great that forms and presentation are on the flash drive
- Good information on mandated requirements.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## ENTERING THE CHAMBER OF GREEN PHENOMENA: NEW ADVENTURES IN SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION (HP)

Workshop # 23

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 10:30 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	24 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	13 54%	11 46%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	12 48%	13 52%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 4%	15 63%	8 33%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	16 64%	9 36%

#### Additional Comments:

- I didn't realize that this workshop was going to be so much about insurance, but it was a side of "green" design I had not considered and was good to learn about.
- The modular presentation component went a little past the line of selling.
- No mention of owner-controlled insurance program option to insure a project
- Missing pages of PowerPoint in handout from various presenters. (2x)
- Wide range of good information.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## IT STARTS WITH A VISION...INSPIRED 21ST CENTURY LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS...ONE PIECE AT A TIME (SA)

Workshop # 24

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 10:30 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	55 98%	1 2%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	13 22%	46 78%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	15 25%	44 75%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 2%	14 25%	42 74%
Meeting room accommodations	2 3%	27 47%	29 50%

#### Additional Comments:

- Well informed, well presented, good experience
- What are the connections between education program and facilities? The architect disconnect quality of education. How is it being changed with all this vision? How is it being measured? How are these outdoor learning spaces working? Are they being used?
- Architects should be thinking about design that is supportable in current M&O budgets crisis. Green roofs are not a good idea right now.
- Volume of video could've been louder, otherwise one of the best conference workshops ever. Excellent
- Great dialog
- Educational program commissioning good concept to be fleshed out further. Talked about recent program process goals design solutions – did not talk much about development of educational concepts and facility implications.
- Laura was the best moderator. Good session and discussion – thank you
- Very informative.
- You executing the vision. Now how do you maintain it? How do you build a flexible framework for the vision to adapt to changing norms, requirements, etc?
- Very open forum; good discussion
- Good examples and stories, good questions from the audience.
- Good audience comments
- Great!
- I really appreciated Scott's comments on emphasizing the guidance behind the vision. Stop presenting vision ideas with out the back-up to support it.
- Very good topic.
- Presented information clearly. Would like to see more about overall vision and relationship to student identities.
- Video hard to hear.
- Need microphone for questions in the audience.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## FINANCE 101 (FM)

Workshop # 25

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 10:30 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?		Yes	No
Comments:		24	0
		100%	0%
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	10 40%	15 60%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	5 20%	20 80%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	7 28%	18 72%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	11 44%	14 56%

#### Additional Comments:

- Would like information on parcel tax measure – Pros and Cons; maybe a case study.
- The room was a bit cool. (2x)
- Very helpful and relevant.
- Would have liked to have a handout.
- Please have all speakers use the microphones
- Referred to handouts; but there were no handouts.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## DESIGNING SCHOOLS FOR 21ST CENTURY LEARNING (STA)

Workshop # 27

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

10:45 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	37 97%	1 3%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	1 2%	14 34%	26 63%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	12 29%	29 71%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 2%	17 41%	23 56%
Meeting room accommodations	2 5%	18 44%	21 51%

#### Additional Comments:

- This was very helpful and encouraging!
- You are asking to build more costly schools while OPSC has cut pupil grants by over 6%.
- It was great to see examples of guiding principles. I like the proximal relation of facilities affects on outcomes. Would like to see more on that as well as research as a tool to promote good facilities, i.e. realistic financial support for facilities.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## PROACTIVE PLANNING FOR DSA CLOSE-OUT (SC)

Workshop # 28

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

10:45 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	41 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	9 20%	35 80%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 2%	6 14%	37 84%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	9 20%	35 80%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	16 36%	28 64%

#### Additional Comments:

- Best workshop yet!
- All participants on panel were extremely knowledgeable! I liked the pop quizzes. (3x)
- Handout is a good reference document. (3x)
- Field tips are helpful.
- Pop quizzes were great to keep interest as was share the presentation by all presenters during the workshop.
- The whole presentation was excellent.
- Great handout – thanks for the lists.
- Good content, wonderful format.
- You make it sound simpler than real world – How do you close-out problem projects, e.g. Statewide fire alarm projects not complete.
- Very informative.
- This panel did a great job! One of the best presentations. It was fun that they had the audience participate with “pop quizzes” Would love to have this panel present next year on a different topic – they operated well.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## LEMONS TO LEMONADE: MASTER PLANNING IN CHALLENGING TIMES (P&PM)

Workshop # 29

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

10:45 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	45 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	16 35%	30 65%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 2%	11 24%	34 74%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	15 33%	30 67%
Meeting room accommodations	1 2%	26 57%	19 41%

#### Additional Comments:

- I liked the interaction and participation amongst attendees.
- The pullout work-group session was very engaging for the audience. (4x)
- The PowerPoint with lemons dropping was creative
- Good balance of topics by the presenters that fit the topic well.
- Thanks for the audience participation model.
- Good panel members – knowledgeable on the topic, relevant experience, good tips on master planning.
- Good, varied experiences, shared well and completely.
- Broad range of knowledge on the panel.
- Great process!
- The audience involvement portion could have been skipped.
- Excellent blend of demographic facilities and financial analysis of current challenges and strategies to move forward in difficult times.
- Good school district representation; good examples. Needed more time for questions.
- Kathy Tanner and Carri Matsumoto are awesome.
- Ideas written down were helpful, but would be more helpful if evaluated by panel.
- Demographics information was very helpful.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## CHPS OPERATIONS REPORT CARD INTRODUCTION (HP)

Workshop # 30

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

10:45 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	23 96%	1 4%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	5 22%	18 78%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	5 22%	18 78%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	8 35%	15 65%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	14 61%	9 39%

#### Additional Comments:

- Liked to PowerPoint
- Looks like a good program. Will be interested to see how much involvement districts will make of the program in the future.
- Interesting Program

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## DESIGN AWARDS CASE STUDIES (SA)

Workshop # 31

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

10:45 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	21 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	11 50%	11 50%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	6 27%	16 73%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	7 33%	14 67%
Meeting room accommodations	1 5%	8 36%	13 59%

#### Additional Comments:

- It would have been helpful to know more about why the winning projects were selected from the selection committee.
- Seating could have been closer together instead of a big U shape.
- Not much time for Q&A
- Great job.
- Great job! Well crafted speeches.
- Great inclusion of Board Member.
- One of the few workshops that actually related to facilities design and function. Was one of the that related the content to the description.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## GETTING THE MOST OUT OF SCHOOL DISTRICT ASSETS -- USE, DEVELOPMENT AND DISPOSITION (FM)

Workshop # 32

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

10:45 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	15 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	2 12%	15 88%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	2 12%	15 88%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	5 29%	12 71%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	5 29%	12 71%

#### Additional Comments:

- Very targeted focus on present issues
- Presenter was very knowledgeable of material. Excellent conversation style.
- Talented presenter.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## EFFICIENCY STANDARDS - MAINTAINING THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT (M&O)

Workshop # 33

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

10:45 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	25 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	7 28%	18 72%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	9 35%	17 65%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	10 38%	16 62%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	15 58%	11 42%

#### Additional Comments:

- It was offered in a concise and enthusiastic manner.
- Great information in these times to save money.
- Lack of printed handouts limits note taking.
- Good practical advice.
- Great information and examples.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## PREPARING FOR THE NEW GREEN BUILDING CODE: WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW NOW TO DESIGN SCHOOLS FOR 2011 (SC)

Workshop # 34

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

2:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	57 88%	8 12%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	10 14%	37 54%	22 32%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	11 16%	39 57%	19 28%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	9 13%	31 46%	28 41%
Meeting room accommodations	6 9%	37 54%	26 38%

#### Additional Comments:

- Open discussion is helpful. Ways of implementation of code for school districts may be useful.
- Great moderator.
- The Q&A portion of the presentation was the most helpful. (3x)
- Great presentation of tone tough subject.
- Not enough detail about the planning that has been done to support a plan of this magnitude.
- This is a good, important issue. Why are we rushing this through which will increase the potential for failure? This should not be allowed to fail. I'm not hearing an integrated vision with buy-in from the stakeholders.
- DSA seemed a little underprepared – too light and scripted overview of the code – should have acknowledged specific results from public comment to first draft of code. Should include other players: OPSC for funding, private companies (PGE) for grid neutral options, etc.
- So much information seems to be up in the air than many things were left unclear. (5x)
- If DSA would develop a “standard” structural design for free standard PV structures, the design/build costs would be dramatically reduced leading to more economical installations.
- No more mandated codes unless 100% state funded
- Good luck with enforcement
- No detailed examples were given. Information presented was very general.
- Lots of disagreement on this issue. Details not worked out. Need funding to be successful.
- Please do a follow-up DSA conference at local levels to educate districts to they can plan for this.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## PLANNING FOR YOUR SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS: SPACE, FUNDING & APPROVAL (P&PM)

Workshop # 35

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

2:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	17 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	1 6%	5 28%	12 67%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	5 28%	13 72%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 6%	5 28%	12 67%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	10 56%	8 44%

#### Additional Comments:

- Causes more questions, but not the fault of presenters – just the program.
- Good discussion.
- Really enjoyed hearing the case study from Chris Cox, San Bernardino Supt. Of Schools
- Really good discussions
- Not clear who workshop is for.
- Special Ed needs to be discussed next year also.
- Great discussion!
- It's about time. Then we get to tackle alternative education.
- Good mix of speakers. Good "hot topic."

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## USING ALL YOUR PLANNING AND FINANCIAL TOOLS TO CONSTRUCT THE REPLACEMENT OF AN EXISTING SCHOOL (P&PM)

Workshop # 37

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

2:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	11 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	3 27%	8 73%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	3 27%	8 73%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	4 36%	7 64%
Meeting room accommodations	1 9%	4 36%	6 55%

#### Additional Comments:

- I would have liked to see a plan of the school.
- Very interesting project. Nice amount of detail.
- Well done.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## SCHOOL FOR SUPER HEROES - LINKING COLLEGE + CAREER THROUGH FUNDING AND INSPIRED DESIGN (SA)

Workshop # 38

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

2:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	15 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	6 40%	9 60%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	5 33%	10 67%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 7%	4 29%	9 64%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	11 73%	4 27%

#### Additional Comments:

- It was fun – liked the interactive activity at the end (4x)
- Very creative

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## MAINTENANCE ISSUES—CLOSING, MAINTAINING AND OPENING FACILITIES (M&O)

Workshop # 39

Wednesday, February 24, 2010

2:30 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	20 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	1 5%	6 27%	15 68%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 5%	5 24%	15 71%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 5%	5 24%	15 71%
Meeting room accommodations	2 9%	9 41%	11 50%

#### Additional Comments:

- Best one yet!
- Great stuff!
- Tova was exceptional.
- Tova rocked the place! Great information. Santa Ana USD is on the cutting edge of maintenance evolution.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## HOW DID THE CONSTRUCTION PROCEED ON YOUR PPA PROJECT? CASE STUDIES FROM MULTIPLE DISTRICTS (SC)

Workshop # 41

Thursday, February 25, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	18 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	7 35%	13 65%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	7 35%	13 65%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	6 32%	13 68%
Meeting room accommodations	1 5%	10 50%	9 45%

#### Additional Comments:

- Loved the four district perspective. Well attended (mostly school districts) obviously a hot topic.
- The presentation was excellent Good information was discussed.
- Thought there would be some discussion on an actual PPA and negotiation.
- Does solar pay? There is a perspective that solar is good, but when all costs (capital and operational) are considered, are projects returning financial value to district and tax payer?
- Practical information. I appreciate having no vendors involved.
-

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## AMERICAN CANYON HIGH SCHOOL-THE PROGRAMMING, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A GREEN 21ST CENTURY HIGH SCHOOL (HP)

Workshop # 42

Thursday, February 25, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	15 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	4 27%	11 73%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	1 7%	3 20%	11 73%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	2 13%	3 20%	10 67%
Meeting room accommodations	0 0%	5 33%	10 67%

#### Additional Comments:

- More understanding of lease-leaseback definition maybe helpful for overall presentation.
- Too much talk about lease-leaseback. That should have a whole different seminar and not quite as much a sales pitch for Lathrop.
- Too much talking, not enough time for questions.
- Interesting discussion, but a little too much reminiscing.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## TRENDS TODAY - REALITY TOMORROW: GOING FROM SURVIVING TO THRIVING AND CHANGING HISTORY IN THE PROCESS (P&PM)

Workshop # 44

Thursday, February 25, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	10	1	
	91%	9%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	1 9%	5 45%	5 45%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	5 45%	6 55%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	7 64%	4 36%
Meeting room accommodations	3 27%	6 55%	2 18%

#### Additional Comments:

- Tried to cover too much material.
- Needed more prepared discussion.

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## INSPIRING STUDENTS THROUGH INTERACTIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS - BRINGING TECHNOLOGY TO THE STUDENTS, NOT JUST THE CLASSROOM!!! (SA)

Workshop # 45

Thursday, February 25, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	12 100%	0 0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	1 8%	12 92%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	1 8%	12 92%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	1 8%	0 0%	12 92%
Meeting room accommodations	1 8%	4 31%	8 62%

#### Additional Comments:

- Some PowerPoint slides were hard to read, small font, light lettering – good videos though.
- Great discussion – very introspective.
- Intro a bit long, but effective.
- Good overview - add advance organization and summary recommendations.
- Great job – best session at the conference.
- Thought provoking and insightful. Great media collateral.
- Add some data and results?

# C.A.S.H. 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference on School Facilities

## FACILITIES ACCOUNTING 101 WITH OPSC AUDITS UPDATE (FM)

Workshop # 46

Thursday, February 25, 2010

9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

### Evaluation Summary

Did you find the presentation beneficial?	Yes	No	
Comments:	15	0	
	100%	0%	
	Fair	Good	Excellent
The presenter(s) communicated the information well.	0 0%	0 0%	17 100%
The presenter(s) demonstrated complete knowledge of the topic	0 0%	0 0%	17 100%
The content of this session matched the description provided within the literature.	0 0%	1 6%	16 94%
Meeting room accommodations	1 6%	7 41%	9 53%

#### Additional Comments:

- Very clear.
- As always, I leave Lettie's talks with useful information.
- Very informed presenters.
- Please continue updates on the OPSC auditing process
- Room was cold.
- Great presentation.
- This was by far the most instructive session of the conference.
-